

PRESIDING JUSTICE ARLEIGH M. WOODS  
CHAIRPERSON

MRS. ANDY GUY

DE CHAIRPERSON

JUSTICE EUGENE M. PRIMO  
JUDGE INA LEVIN GYEMANT  
JUDGE WILLIAM A. MASTERSON  
JUDGE FRANCISCO P. KUMAT  
P. YERRY ANDERLINE, ESQ.  
DENNIS A. CORNELL, ESQ.



State of California

Commission on Judicial Performance  
1330 Market Street, Suite 304  
San Francisco, CA 94102  
(415) 557-2503

JACK C. FRANKEL  
DIRECTOR-CHIEF COUNSEL

December 11, 1989

Honorable Glenda Doan  
Judge of the Justice Court  
Corcoran Justice Court District  
1001 Chittenden Avenue  
Corcoran, California 93212

Dear Judge Doan:

At its November 1989 meeting, the Commission on Judicial Performance determined that you be publicly reproved for the conduct set forth below.

From January 3, 1983, to the present you have served as a judge of the Justice Court of the Corcoran Judicial District. In that same period you have continued the private practice of law, as the law permits.


From the time you became a judge through 1986, you received numerous sums of money from a client of your law practice. These sums, which exceeded \$75,000, were not paid for legal services. You have variously described the money as gifts, loans, and income. You did not advise your client to obtain independent counsel before paying you the money, nor did you make the written disclosures required by the State Bar Rules of Professional Conduct, rule 3-300 (formerly rule 5-101). You did not inform the law firm where you worked that you were receiving these sums. You failed to disclose the payments on public Statements of Economic Interests filed in 1985 and thereafter.

Honorable Glenda Doan  
December 11, 1989  
Page 2

This conduct warranted discipline under Article VI, section 18(f)(2) of the California Constitution. In particular, it was conduct prejudicial to the administration of justice that brings the judicial office into disrepute.

In determining that a public reproof would be adequate discipline, the commission considered that the conduct occurred entirely off the bench. There was no evidence that your performance as a judge was in any way compromised. The commission also considered the great remorse you have expressed and your long record of civic service. This public reproof is being issued with your consent.

Very truly yours,

  
JACK E. FRANKEL

JEF:ng